The Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia

Report 445 Regional Cities Program, KPIs and Medicare

Review of Auditor-General's Reports Nos 10-31 (2013-14)

Joint Committee of Public Accounts and Audit

© Commonwealth of Australia 2014

ISBN 978-1-74366-202-1 (Printed version)

ISBN 978-1-74366-203-8 (HTML version)

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Australia License.



The details of this licence are available on the Creative Commons website: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/au/.

Contents

For	eword	V
Ме	mbership of the Committee	viii
Ter	ms of reference	X
List	t of abbreviations	X
List	t of recommendations	xiii
1	Introduction	1
	Background to the review	1
	The Committee's report	2
2	Performance Audit Report No. 21 2013-14 Pilot Project to Audit Key Performance Indicators	
	Introduction	3
	Report overview	3
	Audit objective and scope	3
	Audit conclusions	5
	Committee review	5
	Development of effective KPIs	5
	ANAO methodology for auditing of KPIs	8
	Finance guidance and agency implementation	8
	Committee comment	10
	Development of new performance measurement and reporting framework	10
	Auditing of KPIs under new performance measurement and reporting framework	11

3	Performance Audit Report No. 25 (2013-14)		
	Introduction		
	Report overview	13	
	Audit objective and scope	15	
	Summary of audit outcomes	15	
	ANAO Recommendations	15	
	Committee review	16	
	Overview of the funding round	16	
	Departures from the program guidelines	20	
	Committee comment	21	
	Conclusion	25	
4	Performance Audit Report No. 26 (2013-14)		
	Introduction	27	
	Report overview	27	
	Audit objective, criteria and scope	28	
	Audit conclusion	28	
	Audit recommendations	29	
	Committee review	29	
	Performance against agreed targets, and performance monitoring and reporting framework	< 30	
	Targeting risks and resources	36	
	Governance and accountability framework	38	
	Data quality	39	
	Committee comment	39	
5	Performance Audit Report No. 27 (2013-14)Integrity of Medicare Customer Data		
	Introduction	43	
	Report overview	43	
	Audit objective, criteria and scope	44	
	Audit conclusion	44	
	Audit recommendations	45	
	Committee review	45	

	Data in	itegrity	47
	Implem	nentation of ANAO report recommendations	49
	Comm	ittee comment	51
App	endix	A - Submissions	55
App	endix	B – Public Hearings	57
LIST	OF T	ABLES	
Table	e 3.2	ANAO Recommendations Audit Report No. 26 (2013-14)	16
Table	e 3.1	Summary of characteristics required to be demonstrated	19
Table	e 4.1	ANAO recommendations, Report No. 26 (2013-14)	29
Table	e 5.1	ANAO recommendations, report No. 27 (2013-14)	
Table	e 5.2	ANAO recommendations, Report No. 24 (2004-05)	51

Foreword

On 5 June 2014, the Joint Committee of Public Accounts and Audit (JCPAA) resolved to review four Australian National Audit Office (ANAO) reports. A key theme across these reports was the importance of a robust performance framework and auditable key performance indicators (KPIs). Report No. 21, *Pilot Project to Audit Key Performance Indicators*, found that Commonwealth agencies' implementation of performance measurement and reporting required further development, as agencies have had difficulty developing KPIs that measure the effectiveness of a program's contribution to achieving set outcomes. Report No. 25, *Management of the Building Better Regional Cities Program*, found that the Department of Social Services needed to better reflect key program design parameters and targets in published KPIs and then report against those KPIs. Report No. 26, *Medicare Compliance Audits*, found that the Department of Human Services needed to develop a methodology to better monitor performance outcomes and report on the effectiveness of Medicare compliance audits.

The Committee is a determined advocate of accurate and effective performance measurement and reporting. As previous JCPAA and ANAO reports have emphasised, accurate and effective performance measurement and reporting enables the public, the Parliament and other stakeholders to assess whether resources are being used efficiently, and whether programs and services are achieving their intended results. Developing suitable monitoring and reporting arrangements to demonstrate outcomes achieved is sound practice, and agencies need to incorporate specific performance monitoring, reporting and evaluation activities into the design and costing of their programs. Given this long-term focus of the JCPAA, the Committee will be very interested in the development of the new Commonwealth performance framework as part of the Public Management Reform Agenda and *Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Act* 2013.

In terms of the Committee's specific findings regarding each of the four reports, Audit Report No. 21 reviewed the current Commonwealth performance measurement and reporting framework. The Committee commends the ANAO on its development of a preliminary methodology to support ongoing audits of KPIs. The Committee believes that the auditing of KPIs will play a significant role in enhancing performance measurement and reporting. The Committee also found

that Finance could have done more to improve the guidance for the existing performance measurement and reporting framework prior to the introduction of the new framework under the PMRA and PGPA Act.

Audit Report No. 25 assessed the effectiveness of the funding round for the Building Better Regional Cities (BBRC) Program. The Committee was disappointed in the overall administration of this program. The Committee found that the BBRC Program was implemented in a way that gave insufficient attention to the program's objective and KPIs. The program costed more than had been budgeted, it delivered significantly less in the way of additional affordable housing than the program target and many of the contract projects were delayed in delivery. The Committee believes there is much to be learnt from this matter and has recommended that the Department of Social Services and the Department of the Environment conduct a review of the BBRC Program, to increase the effectiveness of future program administration.

The purpose of Audit Report No. 26 was to assess the effectiveness of the management of Medicare compliance audits by the Department of Human Services—in particular, the Increased Medicare Compliance Audits (ICMA) initiative. The Committee found that the department did not meet its overall targets against two key performance indicators for the IMCA initiative; nor did it develop and implement a methodology to accurately measure, monitor and report on savings achieved against the IMCA target. The Committee concluded that the department should improve reporting of outcomes by developing suitable performance monitoring and reporting arrangements.

Audit Report No. 27 examined the management of Medicare customer data by the Department of Human Services. The Committee is encouraged that the department has commenced work on data integrity testing, and also assembled a team to resolve intertwined and duplicate records in the Medicare database. However, the Committee noted that the department could not demonstrate implementation of previous recommendations made in this area by the ANAO, in Report No. 24, *Integrity of Medicare Enrolment Data* (2004-05). It is disappointing that the department missed an opportunity to enhance its performance by implementing a number of the earlier ANAO recommendations targeted at improving Medicare data integrity.

I thank Committee members for their deliberation on these significant matters. I also thank departmental representatives who appeared at public hearings for assisting the JCPAA in its important role of holding Commonwealth agencies to account for the efficiency and effectiveness with which they use public monies.

Dr Andrew Southcott MP Chair

Membership of the Committee

Chair Dr Andrew Southcott MP

Deputy Chair Mr Pat Conroy MP

Members Ms Gai Brodtmann MP Senator Cory Bernardi

Mr Andrew Giles MP Senator Chris Ketter (from 1/7/14)

Dr Peter Hendy MP Senator the Hon Kate Lundy

Mr Craig Laundy MP Senator Bridget McKenzie (from 1/7/14)

Mrs Jane Prentice MP Senator Dean Smith

Mr Michael Sukkar MP Senator Alex Gallacher (until 26/6/14)

Mr Angus Taylor MP Senator Anne Ruston (until 26/6/14)

Mr Tim Watts MP

Committee Secretariat

Secretary Ms Susan Cardell

Inquiry Secretary Dr Kate Sullivan

Research Officers Dr Andrew Gaczol

Ms Casey Mazzarella

Administrative Officer Ms Tamara Palmer

Ms Jessica Ristevska

Terms of reference

On Thursday 5 June 2014, the Joint Committee of Public Accounts and Audit resolved to review the following audit reports in detail:

- Audit Report No. 21 (2013-14) *Pilot Project to Audit Key Performance Indicators*
- Audit Report No. 25 (2013-14) *Management of the Building Better Regional Cities Program*
- Audit Report No. 26 (2013-14) Medicare Compliance Audits
- Audit Report No. 27 (2013-14) *Integrity of Medicare Customer Data*

List of abbreviations

AFP Australian Federal Police

ANAO Australian National Audit Office

ATO Australian Taxation Office

BBRC Building Better Regional Cities

CGGs Commonwealth Grant Guidelines

DoH Department of Health

DSEWPaC Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water,

Population and Communities

DSS Department of Social Services

Environment Department of Environment

ERC Expenditure Review Committee

FaHCSIA Department of Families, Housing, Community Services

and Indigenous Affairs

Finance Department of Finance

HIC Health Insurance Commission

Human Services Department of Human Services

IMCA Increased Medicare Compliance Audits

ISM Information Security Manual

JCPAA Joint Committee of Public Accounts and Audit

KPI Key Performance Indicator

MBS Medicare Benefits Schedule

OP framework Outcomes and Programs framework

PBS Parliamentary Budget Statement

PGPA Act Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Act 2013

PMRA Public Management Reform Agenda

RTR Risk Topic Register

List of recommendations

2 Pilot Project to Audit Key Performance Indicators

Recommendation 1

The Committee recommends that the Department of Finance ensure that, under the new performance measurement and reporting framework:

- performance measurement and reporting is recognised as an integral component of agencies' governance arrangements, providing accurate and effective information for both internal management processes and external accountability
- guidance is clear and consistent and supports agencies in the development of meaningful and effective KPIs
- differing outcomes of policy and administrative agencies are recognised
- KPIs can be tailored to measure outcomes that extend beyond a single agency to measure whole-of-government outcomes

Recommendation 2

The Committee recommends that the ANAO continue to work towards the implementation of systematic audits of the appropriateness of agencies' KPIs and the completeness and accuracy of their reporting under the *Public Governance, Performance and Accountability Act* 2013 performance measurement and reporting framework.

3 Management of the Building Better Regional Cities Program

Recommendation 3

The Committee recommends that both the Department of Social Services and the Department of the Environment conduct a full and frank review into the Building Better Regional Cities program, identify the areas of failure and produce a 'lessons learnt' brief for all staff members, and their successors, so as to mitigate the possibility of similar mistakes being made with future programs.

Recommendation 4

The Committee recommends that the Department of Social Services continue to inform the Joint Committee on Public Accounts and Audit through correspondence about the status of housing built with the assistance of the Building Better Regional Cities program every 6 months for the life of the 44th Parliament.

4 Medicare Compliance Audits

Recommendation 5

The Committee recommends that the Department of Human Services report to the Committee, no later than six months after the tabling of this report, on its progress towards implementing the Auditor-General's recommendation that it develop a methodology to monitor outcomes and report on the effectiveness of Medicare compliance audits. The report should include any decisions or other progress made in regard to measuring savings from behavioural change.

Recommendation 6

The Committee recommends that the Department of Human Services undertake a cost-benefit analysis of its Medicare compliance activities to ensure more effective targeting of significant compliance risks to the Medicare program and increase the cost effectiveness of its compliance approach.

5 Integrity of Medicare Customer Data

Recommendation 7

The Committee recommends that the Department of Human Services report to the Committee, no later than six months after the tabling of this report, on its progress towards implementing the Auditor-General's recommendations in terms of undertaking targeted, risk-based data integrity testing of Medicare customer records; better managing duplicate and intertwined records; and reviewing existing entitlement types and implementing controls to ensure that only those customers eligible to receive Medicare benefits can access them.